### IN THE MATTER OF the Veterinarians Act, SBC 2010, c. 15, as amended

The College of Veterinarians of British Columbia

(the "CVBC")

– and –

#### Dr. Janice Posnikoff

(the "Respondent")

#### ORDER MADE AT THE HEARING RE. PUBLICATION BAN

Pursuant to Section 60(2) of the Act and Sections 293(1) and 295 of the CVBC Bylaws, the Panel directs as follows:

- 1. The hearing in this matter will be closed to public attendance in order to preserve the safety of involved individuals.
- 2. A copy of the reasons for the above direction delivered orally on June 23, 2025 will be posted on the CVBC website with this Order;
- 3. No person shall publish or broadcast in any public medium, including on social media and/or the internet, any information that may tend to identify the witnesses at the hearing or any individuals involved in the incident which is the subject of the Citation; including, without limitation: a) their names, places of residences, or contact information (phone, email, or social media); b) their current places of employment, job titles, or job descriptions; c) any images of them; d) any audio recordings of their voices; or e) the name or address of the location at which the incident occurred;
- 4. All participants or persons present at the hearing are prohibited from disseminating any reproduction of any portion of the proceedings, or any materials received by them in connection with the hearing, in any fashion other than authorized copies, recordings or transcripts produced or reproduced by the Court Reporter or the College Registrar and

provided to persons authorized to receive them for the purposes of the hearing or related legal proceedings.

This Order is made this 24th day of June, 2025.

Carol Baird Ellan K.C.
Chair of the Panel

Al Runnells

Dr. Al Runnells

Tan Welch

Dr. Ian Welch

## IN THE MATTER OF the Veterinarians Act, SBC 2010, c. 15, as amended

# The College of Veterinarians of British Columbia

(the "CVBC")

- and -

### Dr. Janice Posnikoff

(the "Respondent")

### ORAL RULING OF THE PANEL RE. PUBLICATION BAN

The below is an excerpt from the transcripts as prepared by Ms. Vicki Webster, Official Reporter from Coast Reporting on June 23, 2025:

1		(BEGINNING OF EXCERPT)
2	THE CHAIR:	And so we've heard submissions this morning on
3		whether or not this matter should be open to the
4		public. Presumptively these matters generally are
5		open to the public, and Ms. Magcalas facilitates
6		that by providing links for people to attend by
7		audio, and that has happened in the past, although
8		they're not extensively attended in my experience.
9		But it would be something of an extraordinary
10		measure for the panel to bar the public from
11		attending, and we have had some considerable
12		discussion about that after hearing the able
13		submissions on both parties' parts.
14		And we have decided to take that
15		extraordinary step for a number of reasons, that
16		is, the step to exclude the public. We have heard
17		the wording of some of the comments in the social

18	media realm that Mr. Doolittle has shared, and we
19	understand the negative one or two that he shared
20	to be that representative or perhaps the most
21	concerning of the comments, and we accept that
22	that's the content of the comment.
23	We discussed whether we need to see
24	anything further about social media, but we don't
25	want to get sidetracked into a sideshow essentially

of a social media campaign. We didn't think it was appropriate, and it might, in fact, be inappropriate for us to see any more of that, so we're taking what Mr. Doolittle has presented as the most concerning of the comments, and then we take those comments at face value.

There is at least one member, one person who posted who suggested that they might make some overt action that might have repercussions to the complainant, and that causes us concern, and again, we accept the threat, if that's what it was, as-should be taken as genuine and can't be dismissed by the panel at this point.

And that engages the issue of safety of the witnesses that is set out in the section of the Act that applies. And so what we need to do in the circumstances is weigh the public interest in attending and having an open process against the public's equal interest in having witnesses be able to present their evidence in an unfettered way without fear of repercussion.

And my view is that where there is a sort of substantiated suggestion that there might be repercussions, that pushes it into the realm of outweighing the public interest in attending

generally, and in -- favours the interest of the witness that -- or witnesses in being able to attend a hearing at which their name and identifying details will be disclosed without fear that that will go out further into the social media realm that has already been the case.

Certainly the panel would not want this hearing to be an instrument of further fear of repercussion on the part of the witnesses. So our view is that that outweighs the general right of the public to be present, and that that right to be aware of what happens in the proceedings will be addressed to some extent by reasons of the panel in due course as to the outcome, which will – as Mr. Doolittle fully set out, will address everything that's said by each party in the process and the panel's decision.

I should add that those reasons generally under the Act are required not to disclose the complainant's or witnesses' names, they are routinely excluded, as part of that process, except we're doing it at an earlier stage of the proceedings so that the complainant's and the witnesses' names are not able to be shared outside the hearing process.

1	I will say I think Mr. Doolittle asked for
2	an order that the publish the witnesses' names
3	not be published or any information that would end
4	to identify them not be published, and so, you
5	know, that goes in the reasons without saying, but
6	as far as anyone in attendance at the hearing at
7	this time is concerned, including parties, there is
8	an order that the complainant's name not be
9	published, nor the names of any witnesses, nor any
10	information that would tend to identify them not be
11	published beyond what happens in this hearing.
12	So for instance, social media posts may
13	we're not going to stop you from making those, Dr.
14	Posnikoff, but please don't include the
15	complainant's or witnesses' names in any post that
16	you may decide to make.

# (END OF EXCERPT)

This Order is made this 23<sup>rd</sup>, day of June, 2025.